

Abelian Gauge Invariance

QED is constructed to be invariant under the gauge symmetry

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$$

↑
Phase depends on x !

In this situation, the ordinary derivative no longer makes sense

$$\partial_\mu \psi(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\psi(x + \epsilon) - \psi(x)]$$

↔
different symm. transformation!

To define a meaningful derivative we need a connection, which compensates the phase difference. For a finite difference, this can be done with a link field

$$U(y, x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(y)} U(y, x) e^{-i\alpha(x)} \quad (10)$$

with this, one defines the covariant derivative

$$n^\mu D_\mu \psi(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\psi(x+n\varepsilon) - U(x+n\varepsilon, x) \psi(x) \right] (x)$$

[For lattice gauge theories, one works with $\varepsilon = a =$ "lattice spacing". In this case the entire theory is formulated with a link field and the fermion.]

This transforms like $\Psi(x)$. For $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,
we can expand

$$U(x + \epsilon u, x) = 1 - ie \epsilon u^\mu A_\mu + O(\epsilon^2)$$

inserting into (*) gives

$$D_\mu \Psi = \partial_\mu \Psi + ie A_\mu \Psi$$

inserting into (□) and expanding gives

$$U(x + \epsilon u, x) = 1 - ie \epsilon u^\mu A_\mu + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\rightarrow (1 + ie \epsilon u^\mu \partial_\mu \alpha) (1 - ie \epsilon u^\mu A_\mu)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha(x + \epsilon u) = \alpha(x) + \epsilon u^\mu \partial_\mu \alpha \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{so } A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \alpha$$

With these ingredients, one obtains a gauge invariant Fermion Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} (i\not{D} - m) \Psi$$

We can also construct a kinetic term by considering

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] \Psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} [D_\mu, D_\nu] \Psi(x)$$

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] \Psi = ie \underbrace{(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)}_{F_{\mu\nu}} \Psi$$

Note

$$F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha(x)} [D_\mu, D_\nu] e^{+i\alpha(x)} = \bar{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

is gauge invariant.

With this, we can construct a kinetic term:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$$

↑ Choose so that
the kinetic term has
canonical normalization.

lie groups & lie algebras

The gauge transformations $g(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha}$ form a lie Group, a continuous group.

It is interesting to consider group elements close to unity:

$$g(\alpha) = 1 + i\alpha + O(\alpha^2)$$

and we can reconstruct finite transformations by repeatedly performing small transformations.

$$g(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{i\alpha}{n} \right)^n = e^{i\alpha}$$

(*)

We want to extend the concept of gauge invariance to other compact Lie groups. We consider transformations

$$\psi \rightarrow g(\alpha) \psi$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N)^T$ and $g(\alpha)$ is a unitary $N \times N$ matrix. A small transformation then takes the form

$$g(\alpha) = \mathbb{1} + i\alpha^a T^a + O(\alpha^2)$$

$\swarrow a=1 \dots d$

The matrices T^a are called the **generators** of the group.

Using (*), we obtain finite transformations

as

$$g(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha^a T^a}$$

Group multiplication is

$$g(\alpha)g(\beta) = e^{i\alpha^a T^a} e^{i\beta^b T^b}$$

more commutators
↓

$$= e^{i(\alpha^a + \beta^a)T^a + \frac{1}{2}[T^a, T^b]\alpha^a \beta^b + \dots}$$

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff

If we know the commutators

$$[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc} T^c \quad (**)$$

↑
structure
constants

We know the structure of the group.
The vector space of the generators with
(**) is called the Lie Algebra.

Because of the close correspondence of
the algebra & the group, physicists
often use the same symbol, e.g. $SU(N)$,
for both. Mathematicians use lower-case
names $su(N)$ for the algebra.

The commutator relation

$$[T^a, [T^b, T^c]] + [T^b, [T^c, T^a]] + [T^c, [T^a, T^b]] = 0$$

imply the **Jacobi-identity**

$$f^{ade} f^{bcd} + f^{bde} f^{cad} + f^{cde} f^{abd} = 0$$

Killing and Cartan have classified all possible compact simple Lie algebras.

They are:

↑ no normal subgroups
(no commuting subsets of generators)

• $SU(N)$ preserves $\chi_a^\dagger \psi_a$
 $d = N^2 - 1$

• $SO(N)$ preserves $\chi_i \psi_i$
 $d = N(N-1)/2$

• $Sp(N)$ preserves $\chi_a E_{ab} \psi_b$
 $d = N \cdot (N+1) / 2$

← N -dim vectors

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and five exceptional groups

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8

14 52 78 133 248

Representations

From QT, you know that the Lie group $SU(2) \cong O(3)$ can be represented differently (different spins!).

Also for the gauge symmetry group, we can consider different representations.

If we have the fields transform as a finite-dim. unitary transformation, the generators will be represented by $d \times d$ hermitian matrices T_R^a

↑ Representation R .

We will only consider irreducible reps., where the matrices cannot be block

diagonalized. One can choose the matrices such that

$$\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} t_R^a & \\ & t_R^b \end{bmatrix} = T_R \delta^{ab}$$

where T_R is a constant.

For $SU(N)$, the fundamental representation is N -dimensional and for $SU(2)$, we

Choose ✓ Pauli matrix

$$t^a \equiv t_F^a = \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_F = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}[\mathbb{1}] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We use the same normalization for all N .

For our discussion in QCD, two more representations will be

important.

1.) The conjugate representation:

$$\text{if } \psi \rightarrow (1 + i \alpha^a t_R^a) \psi$$

then

$$\psi^* \rightarrow (1 - i \alpha^a (t_R^a)^*) \psi^*$$

$$\doteq (1 + i \alpha^a t_{\bar{R}}^a) \psi^*$$

Note: $\psi_k^* (t_R^a)^*_{ki} = \psi_k^* (t_{\bar{R}}^a)_{ki}$

$$= (t_{\bar{R}}^a)_{ik} \psi_k^* = (t_R^a)_{ik} \psi_k^*$$

L

2.) The adjoint representation

$$(t_A^a)_{bc} = -i f_{abc}$$

The commutation relation

$$[t_A^a, t_A^b] = i f^{abc} T_A^c$$

is just a rewriting of the
Jacobi identity.

As for Dirac matrices, it is usually
not necessary (& even a bad idea)
to work with explicit representations.

Below we will discuss two strategies to
evaluate group theory factors
which arise in Feynman diagrams.

Non-Abelian Gauge Invariance

with the above group theory knowledge, we now construct Yang-Mills theory, the nonabelian generalization of QED. The transformation of the fermion field $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)^T$

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow V(x)\psi(x)$$

$$V(x) = \exp(i\alpha^a(x)t^a) \in G$$

lie group
↓

To define the covariant derivative,
we expand the link field

$$U(y, x) \rightarrow V(y) U(y, x) V^\dagger(x) \quad (*)$$

qs

$$U(x + \epsilon n, x) = 1 + ig \epsilon n^\mu A_\mu^a(x) t^a \quad (**)$$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^a t^a$$

Attention, different sign
convention than in QED!

Plugging (**) into (*) and expanding in ε , one finds (exercise)

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow V(x) \left[A_\mu(x) + \frac{i}{g} \partial_\mu \right] V^\dagger(x),$$

where we used the short-level notation

$$A_\mu(x) \equiv A_\mu^a(x) t^a,$$

consistent with

$$\underline{D}_\mu \psi \rightarrow V \underline{D}_\mu \psi = V \underline{D}_\mu V^\dagger V \psi$$

To get the kinetic term, we again consider $[D_\mu, D_\nu] \psi$.

One again finds that this quantity does not involve a derivative on the field, but in contrast to QED, there is a commutator term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\mu\nu} &\equiv F_{\mu\nu}^a t^a := \frac{i}{g} [D_\mu, D_\nu] \\
 &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig [A_\mu, A_\nu] \\
 &= (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a) t^a \\
 &\quad + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c t^a
 \end{aligned}$$

\uparrow $A_\mu^b t^b$ \uparrow $A_\nu^c t^c$

Note: $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow V F_{\mu\nu} V^\dagger$ is not gauge invariant! Kinetic term is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{YM}} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[F_{\mu\nu}^a t^a F^{\mu\nu,b} t^b \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a}$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\text{tr} [t^a t^b] = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$$

Side remark: As mentioned in the introduction, there is a second term

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = +\theta \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \text{tr} \left[G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \right]$$

θ -term
breaks
 $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{CP}$

$$\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\rho\sigma}$$

which has quite interesting properties.

- total derivative, i.e. boundary term in the action. No effect on EOM.

since A_μ^a is not a physical field, it does not have to vanish at ∞ .

- Term represents topological property of fields. Not visible in perturbation theory.

Since we do not observe strong CP violation (e.g. neutron EDM) θ must be small.

It is not understood why this is the case ("strong CP problem").